Deliberative Dialogue
Results and Highlights

September 19, 2016
1. Deliberative dialogue: process and guidelines document from April, 2016
2. Participant recommendations
3. Evaluation results
4. Centre for Dialogue recommendations
5. Questions
89 participants attended, reflecting the diversity and knowledge of community
Process: Small Group Collaboration

- Site tours
  + Dialogue
  + Visioning
  + Straw polls
  + Group deliberation

= Twelve group recommendations for what’s in best interest of entire community
Process: Informed Discussion

Discussion Guide grounded event:

– Planning and financial context
– Major site ideas
  (full spectrum of possibilities)
– Comparison of ideas to existing OCP and District policies
– Decision-making constraints (next slide)
Financial Parameters

• Renewal capital is already funded
• District priorities are first in line for District funding
• New assets or amenities will require new funding sources
Participants recommend...

- That the Delbrook Lands benefit both the local and District-wide community
- A multi-use site that includes green space and indoor community services such as child care and adult daycare
- Non-market housing, but only if paid for by other levels of government or partners
Participants recommend...

– Working to develop partnerships with senior levels of government and non-profit organizations, as well as allocating funding from the District budget.

– Not building market housing and/or selling the Delbrook Lands
Evaluating against principles

- Inclusive
- Two-way communication
- Alignment
- Authenticity
- Transparent reporting
Evaluation by participants

• 85% interested in participating in similar events in the future
  – 3% not

• 72% satisfied with District consultation so far
  – 13% not
Our recommendations

– Explore potential learnings beyond Delbrook Lands
  • e.g. services for growing seniors population.

– Continue to grow culture of participation:
  • Keep communicating, even if actions delayed
  • Report final decisions back to community & explain with reference to participant recommendations
  • Look for opportunities to consult on detailed design
Staff Report Recommendations

THAT

1. The *Delbrook Lands Community Dialogue, Final Report* prepared by SFU’s Centre for Dialogue be received for information; and

2. Staff report back in late fall with:
   a) an analysis of the participants’ recommendations based on alignment with District policies,
   b) financial implications of the participants’ recommendations, and
   c) next steps and timelines.
Questions?