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Who We Are

• **Robin Prest**, Civic Engage Practice Lead, SFU Centre for Dialogue

• **Jenna Dunsby**, Dialogue and Engagement Convenor, SFU Centre for Dialogue

• Shauna Sylvester, Director, SFU Centre for Dialogue (not present)
Agenda

1. Introductions and Overview
2. Ideas Phase: Objectives
3. Evaluation
4. Themes
5. Next Steps
Delbrook Engagement Phases:

• Phase 1: Ideas workshop and survey (complete)
• Phase 2: Research & options development (now)
• Phase 3: Deliberative dialogue (June)
Ideas Phase: Objectives

• Receive community ideas for Delbrook Lands
• Request input on next phase of engagement
• Confirm impacts and interests
• Ideas, not final judgements
Ideas Phase: Scope of Work

- Interviews with known stakeholder groups
- Extensive public communications across District
- Evening workshop
- Online and paper survey
- Ideas report
Evaluation: Participation

• 250 registrations for workshop (177 attended)
• 103 responses to online survey
• 1000 ideas received for site use (100 unique)
• Composition (workshop registrants):
  – 56 percent identified as Delbrook residents
  – 28 percent identified as District-wide residents
  – 15 percent identified as both or “other”
Evaluation: Workshop Survey

• Workshop was a “productive first step”
  – 70 percent agreed
  – 17 percent neutral
  – 13 percent disagreed

• Interested in participating in the future:
  – 83 percent agreed
  – 11 percent neutral
  – Five percent disagreed
Themes: Site Use

• Three major categories of ideas:
  – Parks and outdoor recreation
  – Community services, cultural and indoor recreation facilities
  – Housing (including affordable housing)

• Most participants imagined multiple site uses
Themes: Future Engagement

- Transparent information
- Authentic / no pre-determined outcome
- Frequent communication
- Multiple future engagement opportunities
- Inclusion of diverse stakeholders
Themes: Who to Involve

• Residents and taxpayers
• Diverse groups (e.g. youth, seniors, parents, cultural groups, geographic areas)
• Delbrook site users
• Community groups
Themes: Information Requested

- “Big picture” planning context
- Details about new community centre
- Financial implications
- Community needs assessments
Themes: Issues Raised

• Site ownership
• Speed of process
• Weighting of input by local neighbourhood
• Role of developers
Next Steps

• Research

• Deliberative dialogue:
  – Terms of reference (April)
  – Participant selection (May)
  – Online survey (May/June)
  – Workshop (June)
Questions?